

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,069	09/21/2001	Wendell D. Willingham	17666 USA	4390
7	590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
Howard G Bruss Esq			NEWHOUSE, NATHAN JEFFREY	
Owens-IllinoisOne SeaGate L			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Toledo, OH 4			3727	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

⊣		/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/960,069	WILLINGHAM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan J. Newhouse	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailingearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Au</u>	ugust 2003.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the order of the order of the order of the order of the order order order or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the order order order order or declaration is objected to by the Examiner order	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language profits 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) to sentence of the specification of the certification of the specification as been received to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)	_	
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/960,069

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all <u>obviousness</u> rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Druitt (US 5, 638,972) in view of Dudzik (GB 2,235,186).

Druitt teaches a closure having a skirt with helically extending continuous or interrupted thread and an annular sealing fin 6 that engages both the rim and the side of the container finish as the sealing fin is folded upon applying the closure to the container. Druitt does not teach the barrier disc on the inwardly facing side of the top panel of the closure.

Dudzik teaches a similar linerless sealing closure for pressurized containers wherein the inwardly facing surface of the top panel of the closure can have a disc shaped barrier sheet 25 to reduce the diffusion of gas. See embodiment of figure 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a barrier disc as taught by Dudzik on the inwardly facing surface of the top panel of the closure of Druitt to reduce the diffusion of gas.

3. Claims 2-3 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Druitt (US 5,638,972) in view of Dudzik (GB 2,235,186) as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Product Data Sheet of Daraform Exp. 5162-65EG.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,069

Art Unit: 3727

Druitt, as modified above, teaches everything except for the barrier disc having an oxygen scavenging material and made the barrier disc with a primary ingredient of EVOH or LCP.

The Darex Container Products' Product Data Sheet of Daraform Exp 5162-65EG teaches that it is well known to utilize an oxygen scavenging material in combination with a barrier liner that is used for closing beverages in the closure art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the barrier disc of Druitt out of an oxygen scavenging material and the main ingredient of the barrier disc being EVOH or LCP to prevent diffusion while absorbing oxygen already within the container.

4. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Druitt (US 5,638,972) in view of Dudzik (GB 2,235,186) as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Richmond (US 6,095,359).

Druitt, as modified above, teaches everything except for the barrier disc having a primary ingredient of EVOH or LCP.

Richmond teaches that it is well known in the closure art to utilize a barrier material 13 made of EVOH. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize this material (EVOH) to make the barrier disc of Druitt.

5. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Druitt (US 5,638,972) in view of Dudzik (GB 2,235,186) and Richmond (US 6,095,359)

Application/Control Number: 09/960,069

Art Unit: 3727

as applied to claims 2 and 7 above, and further in view of Yamada et al. (US 5,143,763).

Druitt, as modified above, teaches everything except for the barrier disc having an oxygen scavenging material.

Yamada et al. teaches that it is well known to utilize an oxygen scavenging material in combination with a barrier liner that is used for closing beverages in the closure art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the barrier disc of Druitt out of an oxygen scavenging material to prevent diffusion while absorbing oxygen already within the container.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ohmi et al. teaches a gas barrier 24 molded to the inner surface of the top panel 3 of a closure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan J. Newhouse whose telephone number is (703)-308-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on (703)-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1148.

Naman J. Newhouse Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727